

Comparative Evaluation of Efficacy of Topical Ofloxacin and Gentamicin for External Ocular Infections

Saurabh Singh

Associate Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Krishna Mohan Medical College & Hospital, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India.

ABSTRACT

Background: Although ocular infection may be considered to be a minor infection, it can be "vision-threatening". The present study was conducted to assess efficacy of Topical ofloxacin and gentamicin in the treatment of external ocular infection.

Materials & Methods: A total of 140 patients were selected and randomly grouped into two groups, Group 1 and Group 2. Subjects in group 1 were given topical Gentamicin and subjects in group 2 were given topical Ofloxacin for application on the eye with external ocular infection. The clinical symptoms were assessed of each patient. The statistical analysis of the data was done using SPSS version 11.0 for windows. A pvalue of 0.05 and lesser was defined to be statistically significant.

Results: In the present study, there were total of 70 patients in each group. Number of male patients in group 1 was 68.57% and in group 2 was 72.85%. Number of female patients in group 1 was 31.42% and in group 2 were 27.14%. The mean age of patients in group 1 was 43.55 years and in group 2 was 54.34 years. In group 1, clinical improvement was seen in 87.14% patients and microbiological improvement was seen in 84.28 patients. In group 2, clinical improvement was seen in

94.28% patients and microbiological improvement was noticed in 91.42% patients. Overall, clinical improvement was more in group 2 (94.42%) than group 1(84.28%).

Conclusion: The study concluded that clinical improvement was more in ofloxacin group than Gentamicin group in the treatment of external ocular infection. Therefore, ofloxacin was better than Gentamicin.

Keywords: Gentamicin, Ofloxacin, External Ocular Infection.

*Correspondence to:

Dr. Saurabh Singh, Associate Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, Krishna Mohan Medical College & Hospital, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Article History:

Received: 15-10-2016, Revised: 06-11-2016, Accepted: 28-11-2016

| Access this article online | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Website: www.ijmrp.com | Quick Response code | |
| DOI: 10.21276/ijmrp.2016.2.6.079 | | |

INTRODUCTION

Microorganisms are closely associated with external ocular infection. Particularly, infections caused by bacteria are quite common.¹ The most common external ocular infections include conjunctivitis, blepharitis, dacryocystitis, orbital, and periorbital cellulitis.^{2,3} The common bacterial agents responsible for ocular infections include Gram-positive bacteria such as Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis and several Streptococcus and Bacillus spp. as well as Gram-negative bacteria such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Moraxella spp., and Haemophilus spp.4 These organisms may come from the patient's skin, upper respiratory tract, or caught from another person with an external ocular infection.⁵ Even though bacterial infections could also be self-limiting sometimes, topical formulation of antibiotics might be applied as a solution, suspension, or ointment for a week, and quiet often, the clinical course of the bacterial infections is shortened by these topical antibiotics. These antibiotics also control the spread of infection.^{6,7} The aminoglycosides gentamicin and tobramycin are well established as first - line therapy for external ocular infections and possess a broad spectrum of activity against Gram positive and Gram-negative organisms.⁸⁻¹⁰ However, resistance to these antibiotics is increasing. For example, resistance to topical aminoglycoside therapy may be encountered in as many as 8% to 10% of ulcerative keratitis cases caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa.¹¹ Fluoroquinolones, such as ofloxacin, derive their antibacterial activity from their ability to inhibit bacterial DNA gyrase, an enzyme that catalyses the conversion of relaxed covalently closed circular DNA to a supercoiled form.^{12,13} Hence, the present study was conducted to compare the efficacy of topical ofloxacin compared with gentamicin in the treatment of external ocular infection

MATERIALS & METHODS

The present study was conducted in the Department of Ophthalmology, Krishna Mohan Medical College & Hospital, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh (India) to assess efficacy of topical ofloxacin and gentamicin in the treatment of external ocular infection. For the study, a total of 140 patients with suspected external ocular bacterial infection were selected. A detailed informed consent was obtained from the patients. Patients who were allergic to any of the drug or constituents of the medication were excluded from the study. The patients were randomly grouped into two groups, Group 1 and Group 2. Subjects in group 1 were given topical Gentamicin for application on the eye with external ocular infection. Subjects in group 2 were given topical Ofloxacin for application on the eye with external ocular infection.

One drop of the medication was applied to the affected eye(s) six times daily (every 2 to 4 hours) for 2 days (day 1 and day 2) and then four times daily for the next 8 days (day 3 to day 10). The first dose was administered by the investigator, and all subsequent doses were self-administered by the patient. The patients were recalled after 10 days. The clinical symptoms were assessed of each patient. The statistical analysis of the data was done using SPSS version 11.0 for windows. A p-value of 0.05 and lesser was defined to be statistically significant.

| Table 1: Demographic data | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--|
| Variables | Group 1 | Gro | Group 2 | |
| No. of patients | 70 | 7 | 70 | |
| Gender | | | | |
| Male n(%) | 48(68.57%) | 51(72 | 51(72.85%) | |
| Female n(%) | 22(31.42%) | 19(27 | 19(27.14%) | |
| Mean age (years) | 43.55 | 54.34 | | |
| | Table 2: Improveme | ent noticed in patients | | |
| | Number of patients with improvement | | | |
| | Clinical improvement | Microbiological improvement | Overall | |
| Group 1 | 61/70 (87.14%) | 59/70 (84.28%) | 59/70 (84.28%) | |

64/70 (91.42%)

66/70 (94.28%)

RESULTS

Group 2

In the present study, there were total of 70 patients in each group. Number of male patients in group 1 was 68.57% and in group 2 was 72.85%. Number of female patients in group 1 was 31.42% and in group 2 were 27.14%. The mean age of patients in group 1 was 43.55 years and in group 2 was 54.34 years.

In group 1, clinical improvement was seen in 87.14% patients and microbiological improvement was noticed in 84.28 patients. In group 2, clinical improvement was seen in 94.28% patients and microbiological improvement was noticed in 91.42% patients. Overall, clinical improvement was more in group 2 (94.42%) than group 1(84.28%).

DISCUSSION

Globally, damage of the eye due to ocular infections is responsible for increased incidence of morbidity. Infection and inflammation of the ocular regions may also lead to blindness if prompt and appropriate therapy is not administered.¹⁴

In the present study, there were total of 70 patients in each group. Number of male patients in group 1 was 68.57% and in group 2 was 72.85%. Number of female patients in group 1 was 31.42% and in group 2 were 27.14%. The mean age of patients in group 1 was 43.55 years and in group 2 was 54.34 years. In group 1, clinical improvement was seen in 87.14% patients and microbiological improvement was noticed in 84.28 patients. In group 2, clinical improvement was seen in 94.28% patients and microbiological improvement was noticed in 91.42% patients. Overall, clinical improvement was more in group 2 (94.42%) than group 1(84.28%).

Gwon A et al, did a double-masked, randomised, controlled study to assess the effectiveness and safety of 0.3% ofloxacin solution were compared with those of 0.3% gentamicin ophthalmic solution

in treating external bacterial ocular infections. The clinical improvement rate for patients treated with ofloxacin was 98% (51/52) and 92% (48/52) for those treated with gentamicin. Microbiological improvement was achieved in 78% (40/51) of the ofloxacin patients, compared with 67% (35/52) of the gentamicin group. Ofloxacin treatment eradicated or controlled 85% (86/101) of the Gram positive and 89% (17/19) of the Gram-negative organisms cultured, compared with 83% (103/124) and 78% (29/37), respectively, after gentamicin treatment. None of these differences were statistically significant. The incidence of adverse effects attributable to ofloxacin treatment (3-2%) was less than that reported for gentamicin (7.1%). Ofloxacin proved to be an effective, safe, and comfortable therapy for external bacterial ocular infection.¹⁵

64/70 (94.42%)

Miller IM et al 9 performed a study in which they randomly assigned 488 patients with clinical signs of acute bacterial conjunctivitis or blepharitis, or both, to treatment with either norfloxacin ophthalmic solution 0.3% (245) or gentamicin ophthalmic solution 0.3% (243) for one week. Of the patients with positive cultures, 71% (85 of 120) of the norfloxacin-treated patients and 65% (86 of 133) of the gentamicin-treated patients were clinically cured. An additional 25% (30 of 120) of norfloxacintreated patients and 32% (43 of 133) of gentamicin-treated patients were clinically improved. On the basis of posttreatment cultures, 89% of all cultured bacteria were eradicated (146 of 179 organisms) or suppressed (14 of 179 organisms) after treatment with norfloxacin. The condition of five norfloxacin-treated patients did not clinically improve, compared with the condition of eight gentamicin-treated patients. Both antibiotics had similar efficacy against gram-positive and against gram-negative organisms. They concluded that norfloxacin was clinically and microbiologically similar in activity to gentamicin.16

Tabbara KF et al compared the efficacy, local tolerance, and safety of topical lomefloxacin 0.3% and topical ofloxacin 0.3% in the treatment of acute bacterial conjunctivitis. There was no significant difference between the two groups in relation to age or sex. The causative organisms were Staphylococcus epidermidis in 16 cases (36%), α-hemolytic Streptococci in 9 (20%), Haemophilus spp. 6 (13%), Staphylococcus aureus 5 (11%), Streptococcus pneumoniae 4 (9%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa 3 (7%), and other 2 (4%). The mean CSS for conjunctivitis was 12.1 before therapy in the lomefloxacin group and 12.7 in the ofloxacin group. On the 7th day of therapy, the mean CSS was 0.7 in the lomefloxacin group, and 1.6 for ofloxacin. All patients showed improvement, but a total of 18 out of 20 (88%) in the lomefloxacin group showed complete resolution compared to 15 (75%) in the ofloxacin group. The difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.08). Tolerance was excellent in both groups, and no side effects were reported. A burning sensation was noted by two patients, one in each group.17

Bron AJ et al investigated the efficacy of 0.3% ofloxacin and 0.5 percent chloramphenicol in treating bacterial ocular infections. In eighty-four culture positive patients, they investigated the clinical and microbiological treatment improvement rate. No difference was observed by the authors in between the two drugs in terms of clinical improvement rate.¹⁸

CONCLUSION

The study concluded that clinical improvement was more in ofloxacin group than Gentamicin group in the treatment of external ocular infection. Therefore, ofloxacin was better than Gentamicin.

REFERENCES

1. Bharathi M., Ramesh S., Ramakrishnan R., Amuthan M., Viswanathan S. Prevalence of bacterial pathogens causing ocular infections in South India. Indian Journal of Pathology and Microbiology. 2010;53(2):p. 281. doi: 10.4103/0377-4929.64336.

2. Sharma S. Antibiotic resistance in ocular bacterial pathogens. Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2011;29(3):218–222. doi: 10.4103/0255-0857.83903.

3. Falavarjani K. G., Parvaresh M., Modarres M., et al. Isolates and antibiotic resistance of culture-proven endophthalmitis cases presented to a referral center in Tehran. Middle East African Journal of Ophthalmology. 2012;19(4):p. 361. doi: 10.4103/0974-9233.102740.

4. Castañeda-Sánchez J., García-Pérez B., Muñoz-Duarte A., et al. Defensin production by human limbo-corneal fibroblasts infected with mycobacteria. Pathogens. 2013;2(1):13–32. doi: 10.3390/pathogens2010013.

5. Salako A. O., Okesola A. O. Microbiological profile of bacterial conjunctivitis in Ibadan, Nigeria. Annals of Ibadan Postgraduate Medicine. 2010;8(1):20–24. doi: 10.4314/aipm.v8i1.63953.

 Sheikh A, Hurwitz B. Antibiotics versus placebo for acute bacterial conjunctivitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006; (2):CD001211.

7. Everitt HA, Little PS, Smith PW. A randomized controlled trial of management strategies for acute infective conjunctivitis in general practice. BMJ. 2006; 333(7563):321.

8. Jarudi N, Golden B, Hoyme J, Tyson MD, Harter JG. Comparison of antibiotic therapy in presumptive bacterial conjunctivitis. AmJ Ophthalmol 1975; 79: 790-4.

9. Cagle GD, Abshire RL. Quantitative ocular bacteriology: a method for the enumeration and identification of bacteria from the skin-lash margin and conjunctiva. Invest Ophthalmol VisSci 1981; 20: 751-7.

10. Cagle G, Davis S, Rosenthal A, Smith J. Topical tobramycin and gentamicin sulfate in the treatment of ocular infections: multicenter study. Curr Eye Res 1981/1982; 1: 523-34.

11. Gelender H, Rettich C. Gentamicin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa corneal ulcers. Cornea 1984; 3: 21-6.

Fernandes PB. Mode of action, and in vitro and in vivo activities of the fluoroquinolones.J Clin Pharmacol1988;28:156-68.
Crumplin GC, Odell M. Development of resistance to ofloxacin. Drugs 1987; 34 (suppl 1): 1-8

14. Wang N, Yang Q, Tan Y, Lin L, Huang Q, Wu K. Bacterial spectrum and antibiotic resistance patterns of ocular infection: differences between external and intraocular diseases. J Ophthalmol. 2015;2015:813979.

15. Gwon A. Topical ofloxacin compared with gentamicin in the treatment of external ocular infection. Ofloxacin Study Group. Br J Ophthalmol. 1992 Dec;76(12):714-8.

16. Miller IM, Vogel R, Cook TJ, Wittreich J. Topically administered norfloxacin compared with topically administered gentamicin for the treatment of external ocular bacterial infections. The Worldwide Norfloxacin Ophthalmic Study Group. Am J Ophthalmol. 1992 Jun 15;113(6):638-44. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9394(14)74787-7. PMID: 1598954.

17. Tabbara KF, El-Sheikh HF, Monowarulislam SM, Hammouda E. Treatment of Acute Bacterial Conjunctivitis with Topical Lomefloxacin 0.3% Compared to Topical Ofloxacin 0.3%. European Journal of Ophthalmology. 1999;9(4):269-275. doi:10.1177/112067219900900403.

18. Bron AJ, Leber G, Rizk SN, Baig H, Elkington AR, Kirkby GR, Neoh C, Harden A, Leong T. Ofloxacin compared with chloramphenicol in the management of external ocular infection. Br J Ophthalmol. 1991 Nov;75(11):675-9.

Source of Support: Nil.

Conflict of Interest: None Declared.

Copyright: © the author(s) and publisher. IJMRP is an official publication of Ibn Sina Academy of Medieval Medicine & Sciences, registered in 2001 under Indian Trusts Act, 1882.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article as: Saurabh Singh. Comparative Evaluation of Efficacy of Topical Ofloxacin and Gentamicin for External Ocular Infections. Int J Med Res Prof. 2016; 2(6):356-58. DOI:10.21276/ijmrp.2016.2.6.079